

Kalyani Charitable Trust's

Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering, Nashik Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik



Department of Computer Engineering

Expert Talk List Academic Year: 2023-24

Sr. No	Name of the Activity	Number of students participated
1	Expert Talk on"Fundamentals of Machine Learning"	25
2	Expert talk on"Research Publicationa"	50
. 3	Expert Talk on"Fundamentals of Data Structures"	25
4	Expert Talk on "Basics of Programming"	25

Prof.(Dr.) N.R. Wankhade HOD

Prof.(Dr.) S.B.Bagal Principal

Date: 02/03/2024

Notice

All SE students of the Computer department are informed that an Expert lecture on **Data Structures** is arranged on Tuesday, 05th March 2024. Attendance is compulsory for the same.

Date: 05th March 2024

Time: 12.30 pm

Venue: SE Computer Classroom

Dr. N. R. Wankhade

Head of Computer Department



Kalyani Charitable Trust's

Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik – 422 213



DSA Report

Title of the Program: Expert Lecture on Data Structures and Algorithm

Date of conduction: 05th March 2024 Number of Day(s): 1 Day

Venue: Data Structures Lab Number of Participants: 37

Program Objectives:

1. To aware the students regarding the easiness of implementing the data structures with proper application.

2. To select and implement the specified data structure needed for an application.

Name & Contact Details of the Resource Person(s):

Prof. Deepali Pawar, Assistant Professor in Computer Department, SNJB College of Engineering, Chandwad, Nashik.

Description / Explanation of the Program:

Being an expert in data structures and algorithm subject, Prof. Deepali discussed the theoretical aspects with the students and also discussed the way for implementation of the data structures with various examples. She introduced about the different types of trees available as a data structure along with various operations possible with these trees and ways of implementations with arrays and linked lists with their advantages and disadvantages. Overall presentation was followed by the actual implementation as hands-on experience which gave the confidence to implement such types of codes in simple and effective manner.





Kalyani Chamable Linet's


Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering







Felicitation of an Expert









Kalyani Charitable Trust's

Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering







Prof. Deepali Pawar was felicitated by Dr. N. R. Wankhade, Head of Computer Engineering Department. The ACES committee and Prof. S. R. Baji had organized the said event. Second year students of Computer Engineering department were the audience for this expert talk.

Prof. S. R. Baji

Program Coordinator

Prof. (Dr.) N. R. Wankhade

Head of Computer Dept.

Prof. (Dr.) Sahebrao B. Bagal Principal





Kalyani Charitable Trust's Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering, Nashik Anjaneri, Tal - Irimbakeshwar, Dist - Nashik 422 213 Department of Computer Engineering



Attendance Sheet

Expert talk on Data Structures

Date: 05/03/2024

Roll	Class	Name of Student	Sign
No 70	SE	Samilesha Bocharl	Stackwel
32	SF	Somilisha Bocharl Nidhi Nimase Himanshi Pandey	timan
34	SE	Himanshi Pandey	Minert
50	SE	whinds bankal	Oghels
19	SE	Ghave Abbilit	aby
17	SE	Gage Vishal	Magage
72	SE	Satuesh Galkwar	38.6
03	S£	Arand Ahire	and .
0.2	SF	Govardhan Jadhar	Ap.
26	SE	Hitesh Kalal	ney
07	SE	Laukik Bagul	Man.
03	SE	Alinkya Ban	Mary.
02	SE	Aher Darshan	Ather
69	SE	Puhkanaj Potdan	two 2
63	9 =	yosh withoute	apples
54	SE	Gauxi sonawane	quiel
68	SE	Ruchita Bhavare	aher.
23	SF	Pranav Jadhay	Ladhay
55	5£ 5£	Darshan Summa	- Sampan
3.8	SE	Ayush Patil	ACADEM"
3.8	se	yash Masaikar	- Hash
36	6€	Abhijit Patil	
20	SE	Abhijît Pati) Rushikesh Girase	Bart.
21	SE	Sourabh Girase	Sg
_			



Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering, Nashik Anjaneri, Tal - Irimbakeshwar, Dist - Nashik 422 213 Department of Computer Engineering



Attendance Sheet

Expert talk on Data Structures

Date: 05/03/2024

Roll	Class	Name of Student	Sign
No			ACUL
37	S.E. Comp.	Patil Aniket Vijed	Acad
46	SECOMP	Richarkar Chandrakark	Call
73	S.E. Como.	Prithankar Chandrakank	
52	SE comp	NIAIN SINAD	
48	SE Como	Sharma Abhishek	
47	SE Comp	01 01-1	M) ousing
78	S.Econ	Darshana kakaal Vichya chanahari	· ·
24	a.Fiamo	parshana kakaal	THY
14	S.E comp	Victiga chaudhati	Wielya
	S.E. comp	Akshada Auhaol	Ahuaa
56	S.E COMP	Akshada Auhad Satshi suryawanshi	200
		Outries Shorts	Rutua
49	S.F. Comp	Rutuja Sholke Ariket Craahe	Den
16	S:E	ATTES CHACKE	
1000			
No selle			
TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY O			
20 E		To be a second	
Contract of the Contract of th			



GE Tel. +91 - 2594 - 22016871, Fax +91 - 2594 - 220174
Websile:www.sapkalknowledgehub.org E-mad gre-engineering@eapkalknowledgehub.com

FEEDBACK FORM(Seminar/Expert Talk)

We would appreciate if you could take a few minute to share your opinion with us.


Name of the Depa	irtment: <u>C</u> e	smputer	Enginecin	y	
Seminar Topic: _	Expert	talk	on Data	steucture	
Conducted by: _					
Class: SE		Date	: 5/3/24	Time: 12:30	+0 2:01

Sr. No.	Performance Attributes						Rat	ting							
110.		1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
1	Was the Expert properly introduced to									N					
1.	the audience?	1 - N	lot a	t all:	10 -	Prop	erly li	ntrodu	ced		8 9 Specified 8 9 Stry Interesting 9 Stry Interest				
		1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
2.	Was the objective of the expert talk								1		~				
2.	specified properly to you?	1 - 0	bied	ctive	not s	pecif	ied: I	0 – Pro	perly	Specif	ied				
		1	2		3	4	5	6	7	T -	1	10			
2	Was the Expert interactive in his		_									1			
3.	delivery?	1 – Not Interactive; 5 – Interactive; 10 – Very Interesting													
		1	2		3	4	5	6	7			10			
,	Rate the language of the expert.									~					
4.	Rate the language of the expert.	1 – Simple Language; 10 – Difficult to Understand													
	-	1		2	3	4	5	6	7			10			
	Whether sufficient time was available			_							~				
5.	for completion of the talk?	1 - 1	nsuf	fficie	nt tin	ne av	ailable	e: 10 –	Suffi	cient ti	me				
	for completion of the tank.		lable												
		1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
	Whether sufficient time was available	,								V					
6.	Whether sufficient time was available	1 – Insufficient time available; 10 – Sufficient time													
	for question & answer session?	available													
		1	Idore	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
	Was the speaker friendly & willing to					<u> </u>					V				
7.	answer questions?	1	Dide	ı't ar	SWAL	ques	tions:	10 - 7	Answe	ered all	quest	ions			
	answer question	1 -		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
	Would you recommend this expert for	1	-		3	+-				0					
8.	another session with your juniors?		N 1 - 4	at all	1, 10	Llio	hly R	ecomr	nende	d					
		-	NOL	2	3	4				7 8	9	1			
ANT	Did the expert use teaching aids /		-	2	3	+ 4	-					1			
9.	other tools for disseminating the			- 1	1	10	Llead	d all tu	nes o	fteachi	ing to	ols			
	topic?	1 -	No t					5 6	peso	7 8	0	1			
		1		2	3	4	-) (,	1		_			
10.	Did the talk improve your knowledge						2	- 41	1	wad ler	owlar	loe			
10.	about the topic discussed?	1-	Nil;	5-M	odera	ite; l	0 - G	reatly	impro	ved kr	lowied	1ge			

Name of Student: Somiksha

Bochard

Sign:




We would appreciate if you could take a few minute to share your opinion with us

Name of the Department: Computer engineering
Seminar Topic: Data = + Tuctor
Conducted by: Deepali. p. Para

Class: S.E Date: 5-3+24 Time: 1'.00

Sr. No.	Performance Attributes					Ra	ting				
1101	ni i b	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1.	Was the Expert properly introduced to	<u> </u>									
	the audience?	1 - Not at all: 10 - Properly Introduced									
	We do alienting of the armost talls	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
2.	Was the objective of the expert talk specified properly to you?										
	specified properly to you?	1 – C	bjecti	ve not	speci	fied; I	0 - Pro	perly !	Specif	ied	
Mi,	Was the Expert interactive in his delivery?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
3.											
	delivery?	1 - N	ot Int	eractiv	e; 5 -	- Intera	ctive;	10 - V	ery In	terestir	ıg
r and		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
4.	Rate the language of the expert.										
		1 – S	imple	Langu	iage;	10 – D	ifficult	to Un	dersta	nd	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Whether sufficient time was available									/	
5.	for completion of the talk?	1-1	nsuffic	cient ti	me av	ailable	e: 10 -	Suffic	ient ti	me	
			able								
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Whether sufficient time was available										
6.	for question & answer session?	1 - Insufficient time available; 10 - Sufficient time									
		available									
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
7.	Was the speaker friendly & willing to										
1.	answer questions?	1 - Didn't answer questions; 10 - Answered all questions									
-		1	2		4			7	8	9	10
8.	Would you recommend this expert for										
0.	another session with your juniors?	1 - Not at all; 10 - Highly Recommended									
	Did to a touching side /	1	2		1 4			7	8	9	10
	Did the expert use teaching aids /									-	-
9.	other tools for disseminating the	1 - N	lo ton	s usec	1: 10	- Used	all typ	es of t	eachi	ng too	s
	topic?	1-1	2			1 5		7	8	9	10
3 899	Did the talk improve your knowledge		-								
10.	about the topic discussed?	1 1	111, 8	Moder	nte: I	0 - 6	eatly i	mprov	ed kn	owled	10
	about the topic diseases.	-	VII; 3-	viouei	are, i	U CI	varie (1110000	- 10 1000		

Name of Student: Their ya logses




We would appreciate it you could take a few hinting to share your opinion who as
Name of the Department: Computer engineering
Seminar Topic: Data Structure

Conducted by: Deepali P. Pawar Class: SE Date: 5103124 Time: 1:00

	36			0 2 1	2 -1				- 0		
Sr.	Performance Attributes					Rat	ng				
1101	W I. C	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1.	Was the Expert properly introduced to the audience?					-				-	/
	the audience?	1-N			Prop	erly In		ed	->	g tools	
	Was the objective of the expert talk		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	guestion	10
2.	specified properly to you?			-		-				-	/
	specified property to you.	1-0	- de	The second		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	The Section Section	perly '		ed 9 eresting 9 ne 9 ne 9 question 9	16
- 	Was the Expert interactive in his		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
3.	delivery?						-				/
	delivery	1	and the same of		1	1	and the second	1		guestion guestion guestion guestion g	
			2	3_	4	5	6_	7	- 8	4	10
4.	Rate the language of the expert.	1 - Simple Language; 10 - Difficult to Understand									
		-								ried 9 Iteresting 9 Ime	10
		1	2	3	4	5	6	1	8	9	10
	Whether sufficient time was available						12	0 60		V	
5.	for completion of the talk?	1		ient ti	me ava	ailable	; 10 -	Sullic	ient tir	ne	
1,00		avail	-	7 0		-		7	a	0	10
		1	2	3	4	5	6	/		7	10
	Whether sufficient time was available	1 - Insufficient time available; 10 - Sufficient time									
6.	for question & answer session?			ient ti	me av	allable	: 10 -	Sume	y Specified 8 9 Very Interesting 8 9 Inderstand 7 8 9 Ticient time 7 8 9 Tered all question 7 8 9 The specified of teaching tools		
		avail	of the party of the last of		-	5	6	7	9	g tools	10
	Was the speaker friendly & willing to		2	3	4	13	0	-	0	-	110
7.	answer questions?					· ·	(A) A	M. C. L. C. W.	lle he	consect in	953
	answer questions:	-				tions;	6	7	eu an	Queson	10
	Would you recommend this expert for	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	0	1	110
8.	another session with your juniors?			11 16	111	LL. D.		and ad			-
		1-1				nly Ke	comm	enaca	d 7 8 9 erly Specified 7 8 9 0 - Very Intere 7 8 9 ufficient time 7 8 ufficient time 7 8 swered all qua 7 8 nded 7 8	6	10
	Did the expert use teaching aids /	1	2	3	4	5	6	-	- 0	7	1
9.	other tools for disseminating the					-	11		un efet	a tool	
	topic?	1-1					all typ	oes of t	Cachin	IN HOUSE	10
		1	2	3	4	5	6	1	8 9	1	
10.	Did the talk improve your knowledge about the topic discussed?										and the same
							4 4				

Name of Student: Avhad Akshada

Sign: (Ahere



We would appreciate if you could take a few minute to share your opinion with us.

Name of the Department: Compuler Engineering Department
Seminar Topics Expert talk on Data structure
Seminar Topics Department

Conducted by: Ms. Dipali pawar


Class: S ∈

Date: 4-3-2024 Time: 163:00

Sr. No.						Rat	ing				
	Was the Expert properly introduced to		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1.	the audience?										V
	the addictice:	1-1	lot at a	11; 10 -	- Prop	erly In	troduc	ced		9 eresti 9 nd 9 nne 9 nne 9 questi 9 9	
	Was the objective of the expert talk	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	geresti 9 and 9 ane 9	10
2.	specified properly to you?										
	specified property to you.	1 - 0	bjecti		*		- Pro	perly S	Specif	fied 9 steresti 9 me 9 questi 9	,
	Was the Expert interactive in his		22	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
3.	delivery?										V
	delivery.	1-1						0 - V	ery In	9 ied 9 erestir 9 me 9 questic 9 9 questic 9 9 questic 9 9	ng
			2	3	4	5	- 6	7	8	9	10
4.	Rate the language of the expert.										V
		1 - S	imple	_	_					9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
5.	Whether sufficient time was available										~
٥.	for completion of the talk?	l – li avail	nsuffic able	ient tir	ne ava	ilable	10 – 1	Suffici	ent tir	,	
10 44		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		10
	Whether sufficient time was available										~
6.	for question & answer session?	1 – Insufficient time available; 10 – Sufficient time									
		avail								eresti 9 nd 9 me 9 questi 9	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
7.	Was the speaker friendly & willing to										V
	answer questions?	1 - D	idn't a	inswei	quest	ions; I	0 - A	nswere	ed all	questi	ons
	Ser Ser	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		10
8.	Would you recommend this expert for	-									V
٥.	another session with your juniors?	1 - N	lot at a	11: 10 -	- High	ly Rec	commo	ended			
	Dit de la tarabia aids /	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Did the expert use teaching aids /	-			+	_	_				V
9.	other tools for disseminating the	1 N	lo tool	hazus	10 -	Used	ill typi	es of to	eachir	10 too	
	topic?	1-1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		10
3	Did the talk improve your knowledge			3	-1	-	- 0	-	- 0		1
10.	about the topic discussed?			1 1	100	Cur	othy lo	appare	nd line	wlad	
	about the topic discussed.	1-1	111; 5-1	Todera	16, 10	- Ore	arry III	prove	A KIIC	micu	50

Name of Student: Hitesh Datatray Icalal.

Sign: Jee



We would appreciate if you could take a few minute to share your opinion with us.


Name of the Department: Computes Engg.	
Seminar Topic: [FDS] Expest talk on Data structure)
Conducted by: Deepali Pawax	

Class: 56 Date: 05-02-24 Time: 12: 05

	CHRSS: 2F		iiie: O	5-0	12-	24	Time	1	L . 1	00	
Sr. No						Rat	ing				
1.	Was the Expert properly introduced to	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	the audience?	1 - 1	Vot at a	all; 10	- Prop	erly In	troduc	ed		9 9 erestin 9 me 9 me 9	
2	Was the objective of the expert talk	_1_	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
2.	specified properly to you?	1 (Unio ati			1 10	-		~		
		1 - (2	ve not	specii 4					8 9 pecified 8 9 y Interesting 8 9 erstand 8 9 ent time 8 9 all question 8 9 ching tools 8 9	
3.	Was the Expert interactive in his		- 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	delivery?	1 - 1	Not Int	eractiv	e; 5 –	Interac	tive: 1	0 – V	ery In	terestin	12
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	_		10
4.	Rate the language of the expert.										V
		1 - 5				_	Ticult	_	dersta	gentiand	
	Whather out of a last time and the last	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
5.	for completion of the talk?	1 1	nov. 66. a	lant di			10 6	000			
				ient tii	ne ava	mable;	10-3	Suffic	ient ti	me	
- 1		1		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
,	Whether sufficient time was available						V				
6.	for question & answer session?	ı		ient tir	ne ava	iilable;	10 - 5	Suffic	ient ti	8 9 y Interesting 8 9 erstand 8 9 erstand 8 9 nt time 8 9 all question 8 9 ching tools	
	3 3 5 5 5 - 2038	1		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
7.		<u> </u>							-		V
	answer questions?	1 – Didn't answer questions: 10 – Answered all questions									
	Attee the language of the expert. 1 - Simple Language; 10 - Difficult to Understand 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	10									
8.										V	
	The second secon	1 - N	lot at a	ıll; 10 -	- High	ly Rec	omme	nded			,
	Did the expert use teaching aids /	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		10
9.	other tools for disseminating the						11 .	C :	1.		
	topic?	1-N									
	Did the talk improve your knowledge		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
10.	about the topic discussed?	1 N	lily 5 N	Anders	te: 10	Gran	atly im	prove	ed kno	nyleda	-
	The second secon	1-1	VII; 3-1	viodera	10, 10	- Orea	ttry in	piove	U KIIC	micag	-

Name of Student: Shinde Pankaj Prakosh

Sign:



We would appreciate if you could take a few minute to share your opinion with us.

Name of the Department: Name of the Department:

Seminar Topic: Data structure Expert talk

Conducted by: Mrs. Deepali Pawar


Class: 9E

Date: 05/03/2024 Time: 1:00

Sr. No.	Performance Attributes	Rating												
1101	W. J. E. A. and introduced to	1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
1.	Was the Expert properly introduced to the audience?											V		
		1 - Not at all; 10 - Properly Introduced												
	Was the objective of the expert talk specified properly to you?	1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
2.												~		
		1 - Objective not specified; 10 - Properly Specified												
	The state of the s	1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
3.	Was the Expert interactive in his delivery?				1							V		
	delivery	1 - Not Interactive; 5 - Interactive; 10 - Very Interesting												
G T		1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
4.	Rate the language of the expert.		_								-			
		1 – Simple Language; 10 – Difficult to Understand												
	Whether sufficient time was available for completion of the talk?	1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
												V		
5.		1 - Insufficient time available; 10 - Sufficient time												
		avail	able											
		1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
	Whether sufficient time was available for question & answer session?										V			
6.		1 - Insufficient time available; 10 - Sufficient time												
		available												
	Was the speaker friendly & willing to answer questions?	1	2	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
7.												1		
1.		1 - [Didn't	ansv	ver (quest	ions;	10 - A	inswe	red all	questi	ons		
				2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
0	Would you recommend this expert for											1		
8.	another session with your juniors?	1 - 1	Vot at	all:	10 -	High	nly Re	comn	nende	d				
	, A . A	1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
	Did the expert use teaching aids /	1				<u> </u>						V		
9.	other tools for disseminating the	1 7	Vo. tor	de ne	sed.	10 -	Used	all tvi	pes of	teach	ing too	ls		
6 01-	topic?	1-1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
1	Did the talk improve your knowledge		-	4)	-1	-		-			1		
10.	The title topic discussed?			11	1	10.16	Co	antly	mpro	ved kr	nowled	ge		
	about the topic discussed?	-	Vil; 5	-Moc	ierai	ie; it) - Ul	carry	mpro	TO KI	1011100	2.		

Name of Student: Nidhe Wiman

Sign: NPAliman



We would appreciate if you could take a few minute to share your opinion with us

Name of the Department: _ computer engineering

Seminar Topic: <u>Fundamental</u> of nata structure

Conducted by: Prof. Dipali Pawar.

Class: SE

Date: 5/03/2024 Time:

Sr.	Performance Attributes	Rating													
No.		1	2	3		4	5	6	5	7	8		9	10	
	Was the Expert properly introduced to	1												-	
	the audience?		1 - Not at all; 10 - Properly Introduced												
		1	2	3		4	5		6	7	8		9	10	
	Was the objective of the expert talk		_											-	
2.	specified properly to you?	1 - Objective not specified; 10 - Properly Specified													
		1	2			4	5		6	7	8		9	10	
	Was the Expert interactive in his														
3.	delivery?	1 - Not Interactive: 5 - Interactive: 10 - Very Interesting													
-		1	2		3	4	5		6	7	8	-	9	10	
	Rate the language of the expert.										<u></u>				
4.		1 - 3	Simp	ole La	ngua	ige; l	0 -	Diffi	cult	to Ur	ider	stanc	1	10	
	Whether sufficient time was available for completion of the talk?	1		2	3	4		5	6	7	-	8	9	10	
5.		1 - Insufficient time available; 10 - Sufficient time													
	Tor compression		ilable	e			_	-		7		8	0	10	
		1		2	3	4	+	5	6	/	-	0	-	10	
	Whether sufficient time was available	il-ble 10. Sufficient time													
6.	for question & answer session?	1 – Insufficient time available, 10 – Sufficient time													
	101 4445.1011 21		ilabl					-	6	7		8	9	10	
	s si u e willing to	1		2	3	4	-	5	0	1	-	0	-	1	
7.	Was the speaker friendly & willing to answer questions?	1 – Didn't answer questions; 10 – Answered all questions													
1.		1 -	- Did	n't an	swei	que	SUO	ns; 10	$\frac{1-A}{6}$	LISW	7	8	9	10	
	Labia amond for		l	2	3	4	+	5	0	+	+	U	سا	-	
8.	Would you recommend this expert for another session with your juniors?						1	Dar	0000	ande	d				
0.			- Not	at al	1; 10	- Hi	gnly	y Kec	6	Teride	7	8	9	10	
	Did the expert use teaching aids /		1	2	3		4	5	0	-	1	0	1	L	
9.	other tools for disseminating the						,	1	11 600	2000	Fton	chin	o to	ols	
			- No	tools			<u> </u>	sed a	III ty	pes o	7	8	18 10	1	
	topic?		1	2	3		4	5	6		1	0	1	1	
	Did the talk improve your knowledge	:							1		2212	Llene	nule	doe	
10.	about the topic discussed?	1	_ Nil	; 5-N	lode	rate;	10 -	Gre	atly	ımpr	ovec	KIIC	MICI	150	

Name of Student: Ruchita Bhavare

Sign: Bhow.



We would appreciate if you could take a fe	w minute to sl	hare your opinion with us.
--	----------------	----------------------------

Name of the Department:	omputer Engline	eering
Seminar Topic:	talk on data	Shuch
Conducted by: Deepall	pawar 5NJB	
Class:	Date:	Time:

Sr. No.	Performance Attributes						Rating								
110.		1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
1.	Was the Expert properly introduced to									1					
	the audience?	I - Not at all; 10 - Properly Introduced													
2.	Was the objective of the expert talk specified properly to you?	1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
		1 - Objective not specified; 10 - Properly Specified													
	Was the Expert interactive in his	1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
3.	delivery?									P					
	delivery!	1 - Not Interactive; 5 - Interactive; 10 - Very Interesting													
AFR		1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
4.	Rate the language of the expert.														
		1 – Simple Language; 10 – Difficult to Understand													
	Whether sufficient time was available for completion of the talk?	1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
5.		1 - Insufficient time available; 10 - Sufficient time													
		available													
NAME:	Whether sufficient time was available for question & answer session?	1	2	3	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
6.		1 – Insufficient time available; 10 – Sufficient time													
		avai				4	-	(7	8	9	10			
	Was the speaker friendly & willing to answer questions?		2		3	4	5	6		0	,	10			
7.		1 – Didn't answer questions; 10 – Answered all questions													
		1-1			er c		5	6	TIISWEI	8	9	10			
	Would you recommend this expert for another session with your juniors?		2	-	3	4	3	- 0	- /	0	1	110			
8.						1.11. 1	I Da		andad						
		1-1					nly Re	6	nended	8	9	10			
	Did the expert use teaching aids / other tools for disseminating the topic?	1	2		3	4	5	0	1	0	1	10			
9.								11 .	20.05	tanahi	ng too	le			
,.		1 - 1			ed;	10 -	Used	anty	pes of	8	9	10			
		-1	2		3	4	5	6	7	0	9	-			
10	Did the talk improve your knowledge	With.				1				ad la	avulad	79			
10.	about the topic discussed?	1 -	Nil; 5-	Mode	erat	e; 10) – Gr	eatly i	mprov	ed Kn	owied	ge			

Name of Student: Laukik - Bagul