# LGNSCOE, Electrical Engineering Department Analysis Report of Employer Feedback Form

#### **Electrical Engineering Department**

Part 1 - Feedback regarding the Employee 2024-25

Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale→	1	2	3	Sample Size	Average Ratin Out of 5	
-	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution	Qty	, ,	8 84 1	3	3	3.00	
1	of complying engineering problems	Point wise weightage	0	0	9	9	3.00	
		Qty	- 0.1	2	1	3	2.33	
2	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach	Point wise weightage	0	4		7	2.33	
	•	Qty	2.5		3	3	3.00	
3	Uses technology and workplace equipment	Point wise weightage	0	0	9	9	3.00	
		Qty	. 12	1	2	3	2.67	
1	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute	Point wise weightage	0	2 2	6-14	8	2.67	
		Qty	4.	2		3		
5	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques	Point wise weightage	0	4	3	7	2.33	
	AND THE STREET, NO. 12 AND THE STREET	Qty	- 7.102	A P	3	3	3.00	
6	Ethical and professional behaviour	Point wise weightage	. 0	0	9	9	3.00	
		Qty	dan e		3	3	3.00	
156	Overall behaviour with peers	Point wise weightage	0	~~ <b>0</b>	9	9	3.00	
		Qty		ź	-1	3	2.22	
8	Communication skill (verbal & written)	Point wise weightage	0	4	3	7	2.33	
		Qty	4 55 F.	1.	2	3	0.07	
9	Planning and organisation skill	Point wise weightage	0	2	6	8	2.67	
		Qty	7.00 ·	111	2	3	267	
10	Contribution in development of organisation	Point wise weightage	0	2	6	8	2.67	

#### Part 2 - Feedback regarding Curriculum

Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale→		2	3	4	5	Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5
· 4		Qty	1 42-	1 244	1 6 10	3		3	1 H 2 N +2 -
	Content and coverage	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	12	0	12	4.00
	f	Qty	-,			2	- 1	- 3	100
2	Adequacy of the core courses	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	8	5	13	4.33
		Qty					3	3	e
3	Ordering of the courses	Point wise weightage	0	0	. 0	0	15	15	5.00
		Qty					3	3	
4	Adequacy of the elective courses	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	, 0	15	15	5.00
		Qty	-27	11-417-18	14 100		3	3	
5	actical content in the curriculum	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	0	15	15	5,00

Prof. P R. Gajare

Dept. Criteria-I Coordinator

Prof. R. N. Baji **Head of Department** 



### Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik – 422 213



#### Dear Employer,

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

**Principal** 

the Employer

(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

<b>EMPLOYER</b>	RFEEDBA	ACK FORM
-----------------	---------	----------

Nai	me of the Company / Institute:	Smarth	Enc	19, N	ashi1<		
Add	dress:	satpur	TI	DC 1	rushi	1	v.,
Nai	me of the Evaluating Person:	product			ihuy		
				100	- (1		
	signation of the Evaluating Person:	Tidlet		Miti	Settle fighting a line		-
Coi	ntact Number: 4046 513	e-mail id:		idlee	<u>. N @ (</u>	amali	1-con
	are requested to rate our students wite: 1 - Low; 2 - Medium; 3 - High	th a tick in the box that	indica	ates your l	evel of sa	tisfaction	):
	Part 1 – Fe	edback regarding the	Employ	yee			
Sr.	Parameter				25	of Satisf	
STATE				Apple 11	1 1 1	2000	3
1.	Ability in applying fundamental k complying engineering problems	nowledge in the solu	ition (	of			1_
2.	Is self-motivated with creative & inv	estigative approach		73. 14		V	
3.	Uses technology and workplace equ	ipment					V
4.	Involvement in social activities by yo	our industry / institute	1 × 2 ×	_			1
5.	Flexibility in adopting to new method	ds and techniques			3	W	
6.	Ethical and professional behavior			5 V F			V
7.	Overall behavior with peers						~
8.	Communication skill (verbal & writte	en)		All Comments		~	7425
9.	Planning and organization skill						
10.	Contribution in development of org	anization					
	Part 2 – F	eedback regarding Cu	rriculu	m			1
				Lev	el of Satis	faction	
Sr.	Parameter		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage	A		15.2	1	V	10
2.	Adequacy of the core courses				574		L. Park
3.	Ordering of the courses		es e		50	Tage of the state	1
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses						V
5.	Practical content in the curriculum	The second secon	. = -		Cet .		1
Comn	nents, if any:			(\$)	HENCY		<i>ST</i> -
						3	



### Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering



Signature & Seal of the Employer

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik – 422 213

#### Dear Employer,

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

**Principal** 

					(Late G	N Sapka	College	of Engine	eering)
	EMPLO)	ER FEE	EDB	ACK F	ORM				
		W.				120	0 * 1	13	
Nan	ne of the Company / Institute:	30				nstar	•	2 V1 L	<u> </u>
Add	ress:	Amba	d	M,	DC	, Nasl	nik		4.0
Nan	ne of the Evaluating Person:	Rahu	U	She	vale		and the second		
Dec	ignation of the Evaluating Person:	Mair	1401	once	F	ngine	Pr.		
								. 0	
Con	tact Number: 9621806072		e-n	nail id:	na	hulsheu	ડવાર 4	36(031	nalic
	are requested to rate our students with e: 1 – Low; 2 – Medium; 3 - High		4	-	A HOLLEN		level of s	atisfactio	n:
Section (Section)	Part 1 – Feed	dback reg	gardi	ng the I	Employ	ee	man and a second	A SERVICE OF THE PARTY OF	- elevino y enginto
Sr.	Parameter						Leve	l of Satis	faction 3
4	Abilia in analysis fundamental to				**	£	4	CES PERMIA	1 1 2 AL
1.	Ability in applying fundamental known complying engineering problems	owieage	in t	ne soit	ition o	A STATE OF THE STA			1
2.	Is self-motivated with creative & inve	stigative	appr	oach	1	134	Trans.	1.50	1
3.	Uses technology and workplace equip	ment		A		t,	323		1
4.	Involvement in social activities by you	ır industr	ry/in	stitute		V NG	the of the	-	1 5 5
5.	Flexibility in adopting to new method	s and tec	hniq	ues			The state of	. 1	1
6.	Ethical and professional behavior		1			- 30	od Page		1
7.	Overall behavior with peers				長期			-1 -3 The	1
8.	Communication skill (verbal & writter	1)	<u>C</u>	900	August Edit		1 5	V	Mr.
9.	Planning and organization skill	81,3		-		70	12 2 2	レ	-
10.	Contribution in development of organ	nization						A 15 9-	1
	Part 2 – Fe	edback re	egaro	ling Cu	riculur	n	rba . L	i ii	The state of the s
Sr.	Parameter					The second second second second	el of Sati	sfaction	
					1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage	And The Control			S. Salar			~	a s
2.	Adequacy of the core courses	et.		- T. C.			3	~	The state of the s
3.	Ordering of the courses						acky E.	77	1
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses		250			Res		37 .	The state of the s
5.	Practical content in the curriculum			17	200	11 17 17 1	- W. W V	P. 179	
omm	nents, if any:				W	att N Vott	Iranstol	mers Pv	Lia
					DI	rector-1	•	Direct	or-2

#### **Civil Engineering Department** Analysis Report of Employer Feedback Form **Civil Engineering Department**

Part 1 - Feedback regarding the Employee 2024-25

Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale-	1	2	3	Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5
ı	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of	Qty			3	3	
	complying engineering problems	Point wise weightage	0	0	6	6	2.00
2	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach	Qty		2	1	3	2.33
	no sen mentated with creative to investigative approach	Point wise weightage	0	4	3	7	2.33
3	Uses technology and workplace equipment	Qıy			3	3	3.00
	oss recimology and workplace equipment	Point wise weightage	0	0	9	9	3.00
4	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute	Qty		ı	2	3	
	intercement in social activities by your industry / institute	Point wise weightage	0	2	6	8	2.67
5	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques	Qty		2	L. J. L. Agen	3	
	recoming in adopting to new methods and techniques	Point wise weightage	0	4	3	7	2.33
6	Ethical and professional behaviour	Qty			3	3	-
	Etilical and professional benaviour	Point wise weightage	0	0	6	6	2.00
7	Overall behaviour with peers	Qty			3	3	100
2		Point wise weightage	0	0	9	9	3.00
8	Communication skill (verbal & written)	Qıy		2	1	3	
12	Commencer of State (Verbal Countries)	Point wise weightage	0	4	3	7	2.33
9	Planning and organisation skill	Qıy		- 1	2	3	
2 9	Training and Organisation Skill	Point wise weightage	0	2	6	8	2.67
10	Contribution in development of organisation	Qıy		1.	2	3	
	or organisation	Point wise weightage	0	2	6	8	2.67

#### Part 2 -Feedback regarding Curriculum

Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale→	1	2	3	.4	5	Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5
		Qty	y = 5	AWELLA		3	- 140	3	
1	Content and coverage	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	12	0	12	4.00
	17	Qty	1 10 4			2	1	3	
2	Adequacy of the core courses	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	6	5	11	3.67
		Qty					3	3	
3	3 Ordering of the courses	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	0	15	15	5.00
		Qty					3	3	
4	Adequacy of the elective courses	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	0	15	15	5.00
	<b>最多</b>	Qty					3	3.5.	a 12 May 24 5 5
5	Practical content in the curriculum	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	0	15	15	5.00

Prof. A.N. Kumavat Dept. Criteria-I Coordinator

Dr. K A Salunke Head of Department

Anjaneri Nashik 422 212

Prof.(Dr.) Sahebrao B. Bagal Principal Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering Anjaneri, Nashik-422 213.



### Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik – 422 213



Dear Employer,

Critical note for the institute:

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

rake	corrective actions to maintain as we	il as ennance the quality in code	COM		D.J.	<u> </u>
		/late G N S	Sapkal Colle	ge of Er		cipal
	EMPI (	OYER FEEDBACK FORM		, , , ,	,6	67
NI		당하셨다면요요요요. 그 얼마를 맞는데 하셨다.				
ivam	ne of the Company / Institute:	G Q CONSTRUCTION				
Add	rëss:	fomenveti Ness	mile			
Nam	ne of the Evaluating Person:	mr. m. P. show	296			
Desi	ignation of the Evaluating Person:	me- struction				
	그렇지 않는 사람들들 생각하게 다음을 했다. 그는 나라 있는		Y4 - McD C			
Con	tact Number: 973016073	e-mail id: mahone	jae 88 wg	mean	<u>(en)</u>	
Youa	re requested to rate our students w	with a tick in the box that indicates	your level	of satis	factio	n:
	: 1- Poor; 27- Satisfactory; 3 - Good					
	Part 1 - Fe	edback regarding the Employee				
Sr.	Parameter/		Smilevello Fari Sazin	Y	-	
1.	Ability in applying fundamental	knowledge in the solution of				
	complying engineering problems					$\checkmark$
2.	Is self-motivated with creative & in	nvestigative approach		\$40 A		$\checkmark$
3.	Uses technology and workplace ec	quipment	Seat of the seat o	All Property		V
4.	Involvement in social activities by					V
5.	Flexibility in adopting to new meth			<b>8%</b>	V	
6.	Ethical and professional behaviour			· Section	1	~
<b>.7.</b>	Overall behaviour with peers					V
8.	Communication skill (verbal & wri	tten)		强生,	81 L	6
9.	Planning and organisation skill			1	5 5 11 2 2	5
10.	Contribution in development of or	rganisation		i i		5
	Part 2 -	Feedback regarding Curriculum				
Sr.	Parameter		Wellevel.			
1.	Content and coverage	1242年中,2014日本共和国的安全的特征等的自然的	<b>第1號 第2</b>	n Sersiff	<b>海色4</b> [編]	143/6
2.	Adequacy of the core courses			ia se	8 JAA 15	5
3.	Ordering of the courses			G NASA	40m	V
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses				100	4
5.	Practical content in the curriculum			1	The same	L

C.D. CONSTRUCTION

### Computer Engineering Department Analysis Report of Employer Feedback Form

Part 1 - Feedback regarding the Employee

Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale→	1	2	3	4	5	Sample Size	Average Ratin Out of 5
1	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of	Qty				1	4	5	4.80
	complying engineering problems	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	4	20	24	7
		Qty		***		3	2	5	4.40
2	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	12	10	22	
		Qty				2	3	5	4,60
3	Uses technology and workplace equipment	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	8	15	23	
		Qty			1	1	3	5	4.40
4	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute	Point wise weightage	0	0	3	4	15	22	
	- 6	Qty			1	1	3	5	4.40
5	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques	Point wise weightage	0	0	3	, 4	15	22	
		Qty				1	4	5	4.80
6	Ethical and professional behaviour	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	4	20	24	4.00
		Qty		r		2	3	5	4.60
7	Overall behaviour with peers	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	8	15	23	
		Qty			1	2	2	5	4.20
8	Communication skill (verbal & written)	Point wise weightage	0	0	3	8	10	21	re pri
		Qty			27.	≥1	4	5	4.80
9	Planning and organisation skill	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	4	20	24	4.80
		Qty				1	4	5	4.80
10	Contribution in development of organisation	Point wise weightage	0	0	0	4	20	- 24	

#### Part 2 - Feedback regarding Curriculum

	D	Scale→	1	2	3	4	5	Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5
Sr. No.	Parameters	Qty		<u> </u>	1	1	3	5	200
1	Content and coverage	Point wise weightage	0	0	3	4	15	22	4.40
		Qty			1	2	2	5	14.2
2	Adequacy of the core courses	Point wise weightage	0	0	3	8	10	21	4.20
		Qty			1	2	2	5	
3	Ordering of the courses	Point wise weightage	0	0	3	8	10	21	4.20
				_		2	3	5	
4	Adequacy of the elective courses	Oty Point wise weightage	0	0	0	8	15	23	4.60
9				+		3	2	5	
5	Practical content in the curriculum	Oty Point wise weightage	0	0	0	12	10	22	4.40

Prof. S. R. Palkar Dept. Criteria-I Coordinator Prof. (Dr.) N. R. Wankhade **Head of Department** 

Anjaneri

Prof. (Dr.) Sahebrao B. Bagel Principal Late G. W. Sapkal College of Engineering Anjaneri, Nashik-422 213.



## Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik – 422 213



#### Dear Employer,

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

Principal

(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

#### **EMPLOYER FEEDBACK FORM**

Name of the Company / Institute:	Cognizant
Address:	Plot 26, Ish Info tech, MIDC, Ph-1, Hinjawadi
Name of the Evaluating Person:	Harshada Khairnar Pune
Designation of the Evaluating Person:	HR Recruiter
Contact Number: 940030640	6-mail id: harshada Khairnar @cognizari

You are requested to rate our students with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction: Scale: 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent

	Part 1 – Feedback regarding the Employee		H a			
Sr.	Parameter	L	evel o	Satis	factio	n
Broker,	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1.	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of complying engineering problems		765		~	
2,	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach	-4	-17	77		
3.	Uses technology and workplace equipment			4.7	127	1
4.	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute					
5,	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques				~	Na JE
6.	Ethical and professional behaviour			-	1	
7.	Overall behaviour with peers					~
8.	Communication skill (verbal & written)			-		
9.	Planning and organisation skill					1
10.	Contribution in development of organisation				~	

	Part 2 – Feedback regarding Curriculum									
Sagge	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction								
Sr.		1	2	3	4	5				
1.	Content and coverage	1			1					
2.	Adequacy of the core courses				1					
3.	Ordering of the courses		~_		1					
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses		14							
5.	Practical content in the curriculum		4			~				

Critical note for the institute:

Prof. (Dr.) Sahebrao B. Bagal

Prof. (DI.)

Principal

Principal

Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Anjaneri, Nashik-422 213

Signature & Seal of the Employer

# Mechanical Engineering Department Analysis Report of Employer Feedback Form

Part 1 - Feedback regarding the Employee 2024-25

Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale-+	1	1	3	Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5	
1	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of complying engineering problems	Qty		2	. 1	3		
		Point wise weightage	0	4	3	, ,	2.33	
2	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach	Qty	1	1	1	3		
		Point wise weightage	1	2	. 3	6	2.00	
٠,	Uses technology and workplace equipment	Óά	1	1	1	3	(#	
		Point wise weightage	1.	2	3	6	2.00	
4	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute	Qty	1	2		3		
		Point wise weightage	1_	· 4	v 1 -2	6	2.00	
5	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques	Qty	1	1	. 1 .	. 3		
		Point wise weightage	1	2	3	2 6 L	2.00	
6	Paking	Qty	1			3		
•	Ethical and professional behaviour	Point wise weightage	1	2	3	6	2.00	
7	Overall behaviour with peers	Qtr	1	1	1	3		
		Point wise weightage	1	2	3	6.0	2.00	
	Communication skill (verbal & written)	Qo	1	1	- 1 5 Mar	F- 3 - 7 - 4		
		Point wise weightage	1	2	3	6	2.00	
,	Planning and organisation skill	Qty		3	7-4/:37	.83	<b>的</b> 是2000年	
		Point wise weightage	0	6	0	6	2.00	
10		60	2		1	3		
		Point wise weightage	2	0	3 .	5	1.67	

#### Part 2 -Feedback regarding Curriculum

Sr. Ne.	Parameters	Scale→	1	2	3	1	5	Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5	
	Content and coverage Point	Qty	1				1	3	-	
1		Point wise weightage	1	2	0	0	5		2.67	
2	Adequacy of the core courses	Qty	1	1				1		
		Point wise weightage	1	2	0	4	0	7	ານ	
_	Ordering of the courses	Qty	1		_	$\overline{}$	_	1		
3		Point wise weightage	1	2	0	0	5	1	2.67	
	Adequacy of the elective courses	Qty	1	1	$\overline{}$			- 1		
•		Point wise weightage	1	2	0	0	5	**************************************	2.67	
_	Practical content in the curriculum	Qty	1	1	_		1	3		
5		Point wise weightage	1	2	0	0	5	8	2.67	

Prof. J R. Mahajan Dept. Criteria-I Coordinator

Prof. Dr. T. Y. Badgujar **Head of Department** 

Prof.(Dr.) Sahebrao B. Bagal Principal Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering Anianeri, Nashik-422 213