



Kalyanii Charitable Trust's

LATE G. N. SAPKAL COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(Accredited with Grade 'B' by NAAC)

Affiliated to > Savitribai Phule Pune University (ID. No.PU/NA/Engg./152/2009 Ref.No.-CA/6501 Dated- 18/11/2009)

Approved by > A.I.C.T.E., New Delhi (F.N: 06/07/MS-Engg/2008/O-17, Dated- 11th June 2009)

> Govt. of Maharashtra (No. GEC-2009/(67/09)/T.E.- 4, Dated- 15th June 2009)

> D.T.E., M.S., Mumbai (No.2/NGC/Engg./Approval/2009/535, Dated - 23rd July 2009)

> AISHE CODE : C-42196



Dr. Ravindra G. Sapkal
Chairman & Managing Director
Kalyanii Charitable Trust

Dr. Sahebrao B. Bagal
M.E. (E & TC), Ph.D. (E & TC)
Principal

Ref. No.: KCT's/LGNSCOE/ESTT./2022-23/365(C)


Date: 20/12/2022

To,
The Chairman & Managing Director,
Kalyani Charitable Trust,
Sapkal Knowledge Hub,
Anjaneri, Nashik – 422 213.

Subject: - Submission of Stakeholder's Feedback Action Taken Report

Respected Sir,

The institute has collected and analyzed feedback and inputs from a range of stakeholders for semester I of the A.Y. 2022-23. The feedback from stakeholders is analyzed by the Academic Monitoring Committee and IQAC to identify any areas of uncertainty that require action for improvement. Please find herewith attached the stakeholders' feedback action taken report for your kind information.


Prof. (Dr.) S. B. Bagal
Principal



- CAMPUS** : Sapkal Knowledge Hub, Kalyanii Hills, Anjaneri-Wadholi, Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik - 422 213. (India)
Tel.: + 91- 2594 - 220168/69/70 | Mob.: +91 9922252699 | Toll Free No.: 1800 233 2999 | E-mail : gns_engineering@sapkalknowledgehub.org
- CORPORATE OFFICE** : Sapkal Knowledge Hub, 'Parag' 46, Ashwin Sector, Opp. Hotel Sai Palace, Mumbai-Agra Highway, Nashik - 422 009.
Tel.: +91 - 253 - 2392450 / 51 | E-mail : head.marketing@sapkalknowledgehub.org | Website : www.sapkalknowledgehub.org
- MUMBAI OFFICE** : Sapkal Knowledge Hub, Unit No. 22, 1st Floor, Shubhada Tower Shopping Centre, Sir Pochkhanwala Road, Near R.T.O. Office, Worli, Mumbai - 400 030. Tel.: + 91 - 22 - 24938914 / 15 | E-mail : cmd@sapkalknowledgehub.org, ravi.sapkal@gmail.com



Kalyanii Charitable Trust's

LATE G. N. SAPKAL COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(Accredited with Grade 'B' by NAAC)

Affiliated to > Savitribai Phule Pune University (ID. No. PU/NA/Engg./152/2009 Ref.No.-CA/6501 Dated- 18/11/2009)

Approved by > A.I.C.T.E., New Delhi (F.N: 06/07/MS-Engg/2008/O-17, Dated- 11th June 2009)

> Govt. of Maharashtra (No. GEC-2009/(67/09)/T.E.- 4, Dated- 15th June 2009)

> D.T.E., M.S., Mumbai (No.2/NGC/Engg./Approval/2009/535, Dated - 23rd July 2009)

> AISHE CODE : C-42196



Dr. Ravindra G. Sapkal
Chairman & Managing Director
Kalyanii Charitable Trust

Dr. Sahebrao B. Bagal
M.E. (E & TC), Ph.D. (E & TC)
Principal

Ref. No.: KCT's/LGNSCOE/ESTT./2022-23/637 (1)

Date: 23/05/2023

To,
The Chairman & Managing Director,
Kalyani Charitable Trust,
Sapkal Knowledge Hub,
Anjaneri, Nashik – 422 213.

Subject: - Submission of Stakeholder's Feedback Action Taken Report

Respected Sir,

The institute has collected and analyzed feedback and inputs from a range of stakeholders for semester II of the A.Y. 2022-23. The feedback from stakeholders is analyzed by the Academic Monitoring Committee and IQAC to identify any areas of uncertainty that require action for improvement. Please find herewith attached the stakeholders' feedback action taken report for your kind information.

Noted
[Signature]

[Signature]
Prof. (Dr.) S. B. Bagal
Principal



- CAMPUS** : Sapkal Knowledge Hub, Kalyanii Hills, Anjaneri-Wadholi, Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik - 422 213. (India)
Tel.: + 91- 2594 - 220168/69/70 | Mob.: +91 9922252699 | Toll Free No.: 1800 233 2999 | E-mail : gns_engineering@sapkalknowledgehub.org
- CORPORATE OFFICE** : Sapkal Knowledge Hub, 'Parag' 46, Ashwin Sector, Opp. Hotel Sai Palace, Mumbai-Agra Highway, Nashik - 422 009.
Tel.: +91 - 253 - 2392450 / 51 | E-mail : head.marketing@sapkalknowledgehub.org | Website : www.sapkalknowledgehub.org
- MUMBAI OFFICE** : Sapkal Knowledge Hub, Unit No. 22, 1st Floor, Shubhada Tower Shopping Centre, Sir Pochkhanwala Road, Near R.T.O. Office, Worli, Mumbai - 400 030. Tel.: + 91 - 22 - 24938914 / 15 | E-mail : cmd@sapkalknowledgehub.org, ravi.sapkal@gmail.com



**Kalyani Charitable Trust, Late Gambhirrao Natuba Sapkal College of Engineering, Anjaneri,
Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik**

Feedback Analysis

Title : Faculty Feedback by Students


Academic Year : 2022-23

Class : Sixth Semester [Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering]


Details : Kavita Mahajan

Total number of response(s) : 45 / 62


Question	Regularity in conducting classes			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	15	75	33.33
● Very Good	4	23	92	40.89
● Good	3	7	21	9.33
● Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				83.56




Question	Completion of the course syllabus on time			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	14	70	31.11
● Very Good	4	23	92	40.89
● Good	3	8	24	10.67
● Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				82.67




Question	Schedule of assignments, class test, quiz, expert talks, conduction of workshop, etc.			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	9	45	20.00
● Very Good	4	28	112	49.78
● Good	3	6	18	8.00
● Satisfactory	2	2	4	1.78
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				79.56




Question	Punctuality in the class			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	9	45	20.00
● Very Good	4	20	80	35.56
● Good	3	14	42	18.67
● Satisfactory	2	2	4	1.78
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				76.00




Question	Maintaining discipline of class			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	13	65	28.89
● Very Good	4	22	88	39.11
● Good	3	8	24	10.67
● Satisfactory	2	2	4	1.78
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				80.44




Question	Focus on syllabi			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	8	40	17.78
● Very Good	4	25	100	44.44
● Good	3	10	30	13.33
● Satisfactory	2	2	4	1.78
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				77.33




Question	Blackboard work in terms of clarity, visibility and structure			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	8	40	17.78
● Very Good	4	23	92	40.89
● Good	3	13	39	17.33
● Satisfactory	2	1	2	0.89
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				76.89




Question	Accessibility / Involvement of the faculty in the subject through			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	10	50	22.22
● Very Good	4	23	92	40.89
● Good	3	11	33	14.67
● Satisfactory	2	1	2	0.89
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				78.67




Question	Involving and motivating students for classroom discussions through GD / Debate / Quiz / T-P-S / Poster / Role play / Peer teaching / Brain storming			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	15	75	33.33
● Very Good	4	24	96	42.67
● Good	3	5	15	6.67
● Satisfactory	2	1	2	0.89
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				83.56




Question	Citing the latest developments in the subject through book chapters / magazines / e-journals / case studies			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	7	35	15.56
● Very Good	4	22	88	21.33
● Good	3	16	48	7.00
● Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				78.00




Question	Use of teaching aids: PPT's / Physical models / E-journals / Webinars / NPTEL lectures / Khan Academy			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	8	40	17.78
● Very Good	4	26	104	46.22
● Good	3	10	30	13.33
● Satisfactory	2	1	2	0.89
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				78.22




Question	Trigger films / Simulators			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	5	25	11.11
● Very Good	4	22	88	39.11
● Good	3	18	54	24.00
● Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				74.22




Question	Invite experts from academic Institutions			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	7	35	15.56
● Very Good	4	29	116	51.56
● Good	3	9	27	12.00
● Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				79.11




Question	Conduct visit for better understanding of topic			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	9	45	20.00
● Very Good	4	19	76	33.78
● Good	3	16	48	21.33
● Satisfactory	2	1	2	0.89
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				76.00




Question	Invite experts from the industry / arranges workshops for hands-on-experience			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	11	55	24.44
● Very Good	4	23	92	40.89
● Good	3	11	33	14.67
● Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				80.00




Question	Helping student in providing study materials through ERP / Blogs / Personal Webpage / Google Group / Google Classroom			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	15	75	33.33
● Very Good	4	23	92	40.89
● Good	3	7	21	9.33
● Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				83.56




Question	Helping students in developing professional skills & attitude through Internship, mini project, professional body membership, etc.			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	12	60	28.87
● Very Good	4	23	92	40.89
● Good	3	10	30	13.33
● Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				80.89




Question	Helping students in realizing their carrier goals, strengths and developmental needs			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	6	30	13.33
● Very Good	4	24	96	42.67
● Good	3	15	45	20.00
● Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				76.00




Question	Helping approach towards varied academic interests of students			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	10	50	22.22
● Very Good	4	20	80	35.56
● Good	3	15	45	20.00
● Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				77.78



Question	Helping students in taking up extra-curricular activities for over all development			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	7	35	15.56
● Very Good	4	27	108	48.00
● Good	3	10	30	13.33
● Satisfactory	2	1	2	0.89
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				77.78



Legend: Achieved Scope for Improvement



Sahebrao B. Bagal

Prof.(Dr.) Sahebrao B. Bagal
Principal
Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering
Anjaneri, Nashik-422 213.

Achieved 78.91 | Scope for Improvement 21.09



**Kalyani Charitable Trust, Late Gambhirrao Natuba Sapkal College of Engineering, Anjaneri,
Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik**

Feedback Analysis

Title : Faculty Feedback by Students


Academic Year : 2022-23

Class : Sixth Semester TE B [Mechanical Engineering]


Details : Firoj Pathan

Total number of response(s) : 38 / 57


Question	Regularity in conducting classes			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	30	150	78.95
● Very Good	4	7	28	14.74
● Good	3	1	3	1.58
● Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				95.26




Question	Completion of the course syllabus on time			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	26	130	68.42
● Very Good	4	10	40	21.05
● Good	3	2	6	3.16
● Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				92.63




Question	Schedule of assignments, class test, quiz, expert talks, conduction of workshop, etc.			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	31	155	81.58
● Very Good	4	6	24	12.63
● Good	3	1	3	1.58
● Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				95.79




Question	Punctuality in the class			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	21	105	55.26
● Very Good	4	10	40	21.05
● Good	3	4	12	6.32
● Satisfactory	2	2	4	2.11
● Poor	1	1	1	0.63
Performance				85.26




Question	Maintaining discipline of class			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Excellent	5	20	148	70.32
<input type="radio"/> Very Good	4	4	16	8.42
<input type="radio"/> Good	3	3	9	4.74
<input type="radio"/> Satisfactory	2	2	4	2.11
<input type="radio"/> Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				91.60




Question	Focus on syllabi			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Excellent	5	21	105	55.20
<input type="radio"/> Very Good	4	9	36	18.95
<input type="radio"/> Good	3	5	15	7.89
<input type="radio"/> Satisfactory	2	2	4	2.11
<input type="radio"/> Poor	1	1	1	0.53
Performance				84.74




Question	Blackboard work in terms of clarity, viability and structure			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Excellent	5	20	130	60.42
<input type="radio"/> Very Good	4	10	40	21.05
<input type="radio"/> Good	3	2	6	3.10
<input type="radio"/> Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
<input type="radio"/> Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				82.03




Question	Accessibility / Involvement of the faculty in the subject through			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Excellent	5	21	105	55.20
<input type="radio"/> Very Good	4	15	60	31.50
<input type="radio"/> Good	3	2	6	3.10
<input type="radio"/> Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
<input type="radio"/> Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				90.00




Question	Involving and motivating students for classroom discussions through GD / Debate / Quiz / T-P-S / Poster / Role play / Peer teaching / Brain storming			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Excellent	5	30	150	70.95
<input type="radio"/> Very Good	4	8	32	15.84
<input type="radio"/> Good	3	0	0	0.00
<input type="radio"/> Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
<input type="radio"/> Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				86.79




Question	Citing the latest developments in the subject through book chapters / magazines / e-journals / case studies			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Excellent	5	21	105	55.20
<input type="radio"/> Very Good	4	13	52	27.37
<input type="radio"/> Good	3	4	12	6.32
<input type="radio"/> Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
<input type="radio"/> Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				88.95




Question	Use of teaching aids: PPT's / Physical models / E-journals / Webinars / NPTEL lectures / Khan Academy			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Excellent	5	26	130	68.42
Very Good	4	9	36	18.95
Good	3	2	6	3.16
Satisfactory	2	1	2	1.05
Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				91.68




Question	Trigger films / Simulators			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Excellent	5	18	90	47.37
Very Good	4	14	56	29.47
Good	3	3	9	4.74
Satisfactory	2	2	4	2.11
Poor	1	1	1	0.53
Performance				84.21




Question	Invite experts from academic Institutions			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Excellent	5	25	125	65.79
Very Good	4	7	28	14.74
Good	3	4	12	6.32
Satisfactory	2	2	4	2.11
Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				88.95




Question	Conduct visit for better understanding of topic			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Excellent	5	25	125	65.79
Very Good	4	10	40	21.05
Good	3	2	6	3.16
Satisfactory	2	1	2	1.05
Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				91.05




Question	Invite experts from the industry / arranges workshops for hands-on-experience			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Excellent	5	25	125	65.79
Very Good	4	11	44	23.16
Good	3	2	6	3.16
Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				92.11




Question	Helping student in providing study materials through ERP / Blogs / Personal Webpage / Google Group / Google Classroom			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Excellent	5	29	145	76.32
Very Good	4	9	36	18.95
Good	3	0	0	0.00
Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				95.26




Question	Helping students in developing professional skills & attitude through internship, mini project, professional body membership, etc.			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	25	125	65.79
● Very Good	4	10	40	21.05
● Good	3	2	6	3.10
● Satisfactory	2	1	2	1.05
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				91.05




Question	Helping students in realizing their carrier goals, strengths and developmental needs			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	23	115	60.53
● Very Good	4	9	36	18.95
● Good	3	6	18	9.47
● Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				88.95




Question	Helping approach towards varied academic interests of students			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	23	115	60.53
● Very Good	4	11	44	23.16
● Good	3	4	12	6.32
● Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				90.00



Question	Helping students in taking up extra-curricular activities for over all development			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
● Excellent	5	27	135	71.05
● Very Good	4	6	24	12.63
● Good	3	5	15	7.89
● Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
● Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				91.58



Legend: Achieved Scope for Improvement



Prof.(Dr.) Sahebrao B. Bagal
Principal
Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering
Anjaneri, Nashik-422 213.



Achieved 90.87 | Scope for Improvement 9.13



Kalyani Charitable Trust, Late Gambhirrao Natuba Sapkal College of Engineering, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik

Feedback Response

Title : Faculty Feedback Form
Academic Year : 2022-23
Class : Mechanical Engineering
Details : Activity
Faculty Name : Parag Jadhav

Question	Faculty are given opportunity to participate in syllabus revision and implementation activities arranged by the university
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Neutral
<input type="radio"/>	Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly disagree
Question	The syllabus of the program being offered is current and relevant
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Neutral
<input type="radio"/>	Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly disagree
Question	The system followed by the institute for implementation and delivery of curriculum is effective
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Neutral
<input type="radio"/>	Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly disagree
Question	The curriculum is updated from time to time by the affiliating university
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Neutral
<input type="radio"/>	Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly disagree



Question The Institute makes available student centred learning resources, i.e., e-resources & ICT facilities to faculties

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

Question The faculties are encouraged to carry out research

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

Question The faculties are encouraged to participate in seminars /workshops / symposia / conferences

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

Question The faculties are encouraged to organize seminars / workshops /symposia / conferences

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

Question The faculties are encouraged to establish linkage with Industry

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

Question The library is utilized optimally by the faculties & students

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

Question The institute has provided facility for taking up research and consultancy activity

- Strongly Agree



Question	The institute has provided facility for taking up research and consultancy activity
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Neutral
<input type="radio"/>	Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly disagree

Question	The merit of the teachers is recognized
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Neutral
<input type="radio"/>	Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly disagree

Question	The classrooms and laboratories available are adequate
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Neutral
<input type="radio"/>	Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly disagree

Question	Recreational facility for faculty and students are sufficient
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Neutral
<input type="radio"/>	Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly disagree

Question	Safe drinking water is available
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Neutral
<input type="radio"/>	Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly disagree

Question	Sports infrastructure is adequate
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Neutral
<input type="radio"/>	Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly disagree

Question	The administration is accessible
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Agree



Question	The administration is accessible
<input type="radio"/>	Neutral
<input type="radio"/>	Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly disagree

Question	The faculty are given opportunity to express their opinions
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Neutral
<input type="radio"/>	Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly disagree

Question	Content and coverage
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Excellent
<input type="radio"/>	Very Good
<input type="radio"/>	Good
<input type="radio"/>	Satisfactory
<input type="radio"/>	Poor

Question	Adequacy of the core courses
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Excellent
<input type="radio"/>	Very Good
<input type="radio"/>	Good
<input type="radio"/>	Satisfactory
<input type="radio"/>	Poor

Question	Ordering of the courses
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Excellent
<input type="radio"/>	Very Good
<input type="radio"/>	Good
<input type="radio"/>	Satisfactory
<input type="radio"/>	Poor

Question	Adequacy of the elective courses
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Excellent
<input type="radio"/>	Very Good
<input type="radio"/>	Good
<input type="radio"/>	Satisfactory
<input type="radio"/>	Poor

Question	Practical content in the curriculum
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Excellent
<input type="radio"/>	Very Good
<input type="radio"/>	Good



Question	Practical content in the curriculum
<input type="radio"/>	Satisfactory
<input type="radio"/>	Poor





**Kalyani Charitable Trust, Late Gambhirrao Natuba Sapkal
College of Engineering, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road,
Nashik**

Feedback Response

Title : Faculty Feedback Form

Academic Year : 2022-23

Class : Electrical Engineering

Details : Activity

Faculty Name : Savita Tidke

Question Faculty are given opportunity to participate in syllabus revision and implementation activities arranged by the university

Strongly Agree

Agree

Neutral

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Question The syllabus of the program being offered is current and relevant

Strongly Agree

Agree

Neutral

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Question The system followed by the institute for implementation and delivery of curriculum is effective

Strongly Agree

Agree

Neutral

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Question The curriculum is updated from time to time by the affillating university

Strongly Agree

Agree

Neutral

Disagree

Strongly disagree



Question	The institute makes available student centred learning resources, i.e., e-resources & ICT facilities to faculties
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Neutral
<input type="radio"/>	Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly disagree
Question	The faculties are encouraged to carry out research
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Neutral
<input type="radio"/>	Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly disagree
Question	The faculties are encouraged to participate in seminars /workshops / symposia / conferences
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Neutral
<input type="radio"/>	Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly disagree
Question	The faculties are encouraged to organize seminars / workshops /symposia / conferences
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Neutral
<input type="radio"/>	Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly disagree
Question	The faculties are encouraged to establish linkage with Industry
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Neutral
<input type="radio"/>	Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly disagree
Question	The library is utilized optimally by the faculties & students
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Agree
<input type="radio"/>	Neutral
<input type="radio"/>	Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly disagree
Question	The institute has provided facility for taking up research and consultancy activity
<input type="radio"/>	Strongly Agree



Question The institute has provided facility for taking up research and consultancy activity

- Agree
 Neutral
 Disagree
 Strongly disagree

Question The merit of the teachers is recognized

- Strongly Agree
 Agree
 Neutral
 Disagree
 Strongly disagree

Question The classrooms and laboratories available are adequate

- Strongly Agree
 Agree
 Neutral
 Disagree
 Strongly disagree

Question Recreational facility for faculty and students are sufficient

- Strongly Agree
 Agree
 Neutral
 Disagree
 Strongly disagree

Question Safe drinking water is available

- Strongly Agree
 Agree
 Neutral
 Disagree
 Strongly disagree

Question Sports infrastructure is adequate

- Strongly Agree
 Agree
 Neutral
 Disagree
 Strongly disagree



Question The administration is accessible

- Strongly Agree
 Agree

Question The administration is accessible

- Neutral
 Disagree
 Strongly disagree

Question The faculty are given opportunity to express their opinions

- Strongly Agree
 Agree
 Neutral
 Disagree
 Strongly disagree

Question Content and coverage

- Excellent
 Very Good
 Good
 Satisfactory
 Poor

Question Adequacy of the core courses

- Excellent
 Very Good
 Good
 Satisfactory
 Poor

Question Ordering of the courses

- Excellent
 Very Good
 Good
 Satisfactory
 Poor

Question Adequacy of the elective courses

- Excellent
 Very Good
 Good
 Satisfactory
 Poor

Question Practical content in the curriculum

- Excellent
 Very Good
 Good




Question Practical content in the curriculum

Satisfactory

Poor




Prof.(Dr.) Sahebrao B. Bagat
Principal
Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering
Anjaneri, Nashik-422 213.



Kalyani Charitable Trust's
Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road,
Nashik - 422 213



Dear Employer,

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

Principal

(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

EMPLOYER FEEDBACK FORM


Name of the Company / Institute: Decimal point Innovative Research Solution
 Address: 5 A, B-Wing, Trade Star Building Andheri (East) Mumbai
 Name of the Evaluating Person: Shailesh Dhusi
 Designation of the Evaluating Person: Chief Executive officer
 Contact Number: +44 2032861998 e-mail id: www.decimalpointanalytics.com

You are requested to rate our students with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction:
 Scale: 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 1 – Feedback regarding the Employee						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of complying engineering problems				✓	
2.	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach				✓	
3.	Uses technology and workplace equipment					✓
4.	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute					✓
5.	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques					✓
6.	Ethical and professional behaviour				✓	
7.	Overall behaviour with peers					✓
8.	Communication skill (verbal & written)					✓
9.	Planning and organisation skill				✓	
10.	Contribution in development of organisation				✓	

Part 2 – Feedback regarding Curriculum						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage				✓	
2.	Adequacy of the core courses					✓
3.	Ordering of the courses				✓	
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses				✓	
5.	Practical content in the curriculum					✓

Critical note for the institute:



Shailesh
Signature & Seal of the Employer



Kalyani Charitable Trust's
Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road,
Nashik - 422 213



Dear Employer,

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

Principal

(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

EMPLOYER FEEDBACK FORM

Name of the Company / Institute: Setu Electricals, Nashik.
Address: At/Post - Dhakambe, Pindori road, Nashik.
Name of the Evaluating Person: Mr. Kiran Dhatrak.
Designation of the Evaluating Person: Proprietor (owner)
Contact Number: 98668586604 e-mail id: pratikbhandare92@gmail.com

You are requested to rate our students with a tick in the box that indicates your-level of satisfaction:
Scale: 1- Poor; 2 - Satisfactory; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 1 - Feedback regarding the Employee						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of complying engineering problems					✓
2.	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach				✓	
3.	Uses technology and workplace equipment				✓	
4.	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute				✓	
5.	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques					✓
6.	Ethical and professional behaviour			✓		
7.	Overall behaviour with peers				✓	
8.	Communication skill (verbal & written)					✓
9.	Planning and organisation skill			✓		
10.	Contribution in development of organisation				✓	

Part 2 - Feedback regarding Curriculum						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage				✓	
2.	Adequacy of the core courses			✓		
3.	Ordering of the courses				✓	
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses					
5.	Practical content in the curriculum					✓

Critical note for the institute: _____

Signature & Seal of the Employer

M/S. SETU ELECTRICAL
Govt. Electrical Contractor
Licence No.: 35566



Kalyani Charitable Trust's
Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road,
Nashik - 422 213



Dear Employer,

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

Principal

(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

EMPLOYER FEEDBACK FORM

Name of the Company / Institute: Setu electrical & transformer

Address: At Post darumbe oldori road Nashik

Name of the Evaluating Person: Mrs. Kiran Deshpande

Designation of the Evaluating Person: proprietor

Contact Number: 8329627549

e-mail id: sankeetb99@gmail.com

You are requested to rate our students with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction:
Scale: 1- Poor; 2 - Satisfactory; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 1 - Feedback regarding the Employee

Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of complying engineering problems				✓	
2.	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach				✓	
3.	Uses technology and workplace equipment			✓		
4.	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute					✓
5.	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques				✓	
6.	Ethical and professional behaviour					✓
7.	Overall behaviour with peers			✓		
8.	Communication skill (verbal & written)				✓	
9.	Planning and organisation skill					✓
10.	Contribution in development of organisation					✓

Part 2 - Feedback regarding Curriculum

Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage			✓		✓
2.	Adequacy of the core courses				✓	
3.	Ordering of the courses					✓
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses			✓		
5.	Practical content in the curriculum					✓

Critical note for the institute: _____

Signature & Seal of the Employer

M/S. SETU ELECTRICAL
Govt. Electrical Contractor
Licence No : 35536



Kalyani Charitable Trust's
Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road,
Nashik - 422 213



Dear Employer,

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

Principal
(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

EMPLOYER FEEDBACK FORM

Name of the Company / Institute: Tata Consultancy Services Limited
 Address: Om Sai Pg near Mezza 9 Hotel Phase 1 Pune
 Name of the Evaluating Person: Girish V. Nandimath
 Designation of the Evaluating Person: Global Head, Talent Acquisition & Academic Interface
 Contact Number: 7709045004 e-mail id: golika.v@tcs.com

You are requested to rate our students with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction:
 Scale: 1- Poor; 2 - Satisfactory; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 1 - Feedback regarding the Employee						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of complying engineering problems				✓	
2.	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach				✓	
3.	Uses technology and workplace equipment				✓	
4.	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute					✓
5.	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques					✓
6.	Ethical and professional behaviour					✓
7.	Overall behaviour with peers				✓	
8.	Communication skill (verbal & written)				✓	
9.	Planning and organisation skill				✓	
10.	Contribution in development of organisation				✓	

Part 2 - Feedback regarding Curriculum						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage				✓	
2.	Adequacy of the core courses				✓	
3.	Ordering of the courses				✓	
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses				✓	
5.	Practical content in the curriculum				✓	

Critical note for the institute: _____



G. N. Nandimath
Signature & Seal of the Employer



Kalyani Charitable Trust's
Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering
Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road,
Nashik - 422 213



Dear Alumni,

We are glad that you have spent valuable years in pursuing the course of your choice in this institute. We will be thankful if you can fill this feedback form and share your insight about the department and institute based on the following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education delivered at Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering.

Principal
(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

ALUMNI FEEDBACK FORM

Alumni Details:

Name: Vishal Rameshwar Mahatme
Residential Address: Saibandhan CHS, Flat No-52, Indira Nagar, Nashik.
Contact Number: 7276399796 e-mail id: vishalmahatme007@gmail.com
Present Organisation: Electromotive Services.
Designation: Service Engineer. Present Location: Nashik.

You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction / agreement:
Scale: 1- Poor; 2 - Satisfactory; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 1 - Feedback regarding Institute Support						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Admission procedure				✓	
2.	Institute environment				✓	
3.	Academic infrastructure					✓
4.	Laboratory facilities					✓
5.	Research and Innovation support				✓	
6.	Library facilities				✓	
7.	Computer and Internet facilities				✓	
8.	Cultural and Sport facilities					✓
9.	Hostel facility				✓	
10.	Transport facility				✓	
11.	Grievances redressal					
12.	Training and placement			✓	✓	
13.	Career counselling activities				✓	
14.	Canteen facility				✓	
15.	Recreational facilities				✓	



You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of agreement:
Scale: 1- Strongly disagree; 2 – Disagree; 3 – Neutral; 4 – Agree; 5 – Strongly agree


Part 2 – Feedback regarding Programme Educational Objectives (PEOs)						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Agreement				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Your knowledge in mathematical, scientific and engineering fundamentals has helped you in initiating a successful career as well as advance in it				✓	✓
2.	You have not face any difficulty in adopting to new methods and technology at your workplace				✓	
3.	You were able to cope up with your current job challenges				✓	
4.	You find yourself competent enough in handling projects / assignments successfully as a team member				✓	

You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction:
Scale: 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 3 – Feedback regarding Curriculum						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage					✓
2.	Adequacy of the core courses				✓	
3.	Ordering of the courses					✓
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses					✓
5.	Practical content in the curriculum					✓

Part 4 – Generalised Feedback and Contribution				
Sr.	Parameter	Yes	No	
1.	Do you feel proud to be associated with Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering?	✓		
2.	Do you regularly receive communications / updates from the institute / department?	✓		
3.	Based on your performance have you ever been appreciated by your present / past organisation?	✓		
4.	Have you made any significant achievement in your career?	✓		
5.	Based on your professional expertise would you like to mentor your juniors?	✓		
6.	Would you like to financially contribute to the Alumni Association?	✓		

Suggestive note: _____



Vonchethe
Signature



Kalyani Charitable Trust's
Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering
Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road,
Nashik - 422 213



Dear Alumni,

We are glad that you have spent valuable years in pursuing the course of your choice in this institute. We will be thankful if you can fill this feedback form and share your insight about the department and institute based on the following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education delivered at Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering.

Principal
(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

ALUMNI FEEDBACK FORM

Alumni Details:

Name:

VIDYA GOPAL PATEL.

Residential Address:

PL. No. 5. Shantileela Apt. Kamabwada, Nashik.

Contact Number:

9423769974

e-mail id:

vidya.patel1431@gmail.com

Present Organisation:

HAL Nashik.

Designation:

Apprenticeship

Present Location:

Nashik.

You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction / agreement:
Scale: 1- Poor; 2 - Satisfactory; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 1 - Feedback regarding Institute Support						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Admission procedure				✓	
2.	Institute environment					✓
3.	Academic infrastructure					✓
4.	Laboratory facilities			✓		
5.	Research and Innovation support			✓		
6.	Library facilities			✓		
7.	Computer and Internet facilities				✓	
8.	Cultural and Sport facilities					✓
9.	Hostel facility				✓	
10.	Transport facility			✓		
11.	Grievances redressal			✓		
12.	Training and placement			✓		
13.	Career counselling			✓		
14.	Canteen facility			✓		
15.	Recreational facilities			✓		



You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of agreement:
Scale: 1- Strongly disagree; 2 – Disagree; 3 – Neutral; 4 – Agree; 5 – Strongly agree

Part 2 – Feedback regarding Programme Educational Objectives (PEOs)						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Agreement				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Your knowledge in mathematical, scientific and engineering fundamentals has helped you in initiating a successful career as well as advance in it					✓
2.	You have not face any difficulty in adopting to new methods and technology at your workplace					✓
3.	You were able to cope up with your current job challenges				✓	
4.	You find yourself competent enough in handling projects / assignments successfully as a team member				✓	

You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction:
Scale: 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 3 – Feedback regarding Curriculum						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage				✓	
2.	Adequacy of the core courses				✓	
3.	Ordering of the courses				✓	
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses				✓	
5.	Practical content in the curriculum				✓	

Part 4 – Generalised Feedback and Contribution			
Sr.	Parameter	Yes	No
1.	Do you feel proud to be associated with Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering?	✓	
2.	Do you regularly receive communications / updates from the institute / department?	✓	
3.	Based on your performance have you ever been appreciated by your present / past organisation?	✓	
4.	Have you made any significant achievement in your career?	✓	
5.	Based on your professional expertise would you like to mentor your juniors?	✓	
6.	Would you like to financially contribute to the Alumni Association?		✓

Suggestive note:



P. P. P. P.
 Signature



Kalyani Charitable Trust's
Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road,
Nashik - 422 213



Dear Alumni,

We are glad that you have spent valuable years in pursuing the course of your choice in this institute. We will be thankful if you can fill this feedback form and share your insight about the department and institute based on the following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education delivered at Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering.

Principal
(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

ALUMNI FEEDBACK FORM

Alumni Details:

Name:

Ketaki Nikam Kailas

Residential Address:

Nilangan Row Bungalow, Mahale Farm, CIDCO Nashik

Contact Number:

7057641363

e-mail id:

KKnggsp@gmail.com

Present Organisation:

Systemic

Designation:


Software developer

Present Location:

Mumbai (Maharashtra)

You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction / agreement:
Scale: 1- Poor; 2 - Satisfactory; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 1 - Feedback regarding Institute Support						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Admission procedure				✓	
2.	Institute environment					✓
3.	Academic infrastructure				✓	
4.	Laboratory facilities					✓
5.	Research and Innovation support				✓	
6.	Library facilities					✓
7.	Computer and Internet facilities				✓	
8.	Cultural and Sport facilities				✓	
9.	Hostel facility					✓
10.	Transport facility				✓	
11.	Grievances redressal					✓
12.	Training and placement					✓
13.	Career counselling activities					✓
14.	Canteen facility				✓	
15.	Recreational facilities				✓	



You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of agreement:
 Scale: 1- Strongly disagree; 2 – Disagree; 3 – Neutral; 4 – Agree; 5 – Strongly agree

Part 2 – Feedback regarding Programme Educational Objectives (PEOs)						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Agreement				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Your knowledge in mathematical, scientific and engineering fundamentals has helped you in initiating a successful career as well as advance in it				✓	
2.	You have not face any difficulty in adopting to new methods and technology at your workplace					✓
3.	You were able to cope up with your current job challenges					✓
4.	You find yourself competent enough in handling projects / assignments successfully as a team member					✓

You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction:
 Scale: 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 3 – Feedback regarding Curriculum						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage				✓	
2.	Adequacy of the core courses				✓	
3.	Ordering of the courses					✓
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses					✓
5.	Practical content in the curriculum					✓

Part 4 – Generalised Feedback and Contribution			
Sr.	Parameter	Yes	No
1.	Do you feel proud to be associated with Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering?	✓	
2.	Do you regularly receive communications / updates from the institute / department?	✓	
3.	Based on your performance have you ever been appreciated by your present / past organisation?	✓	
4.	Have you made any significant achievement in your career?	✓	
5.	Based on your professional expertise would you like to mentor your juniors?	✓	
6.	Would you like to financially contribute to the Alumni Association?	✓	

Suggestive note: _____



Y. Patil
 Signature



Kalyani Charitable Trust's
Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road,
Nashik - 422 213



Dear Alumni,

We are glad that you have spent valuable years in pursuing the course of your choice in this institute. We will be thankful if you can fill this feedback form and share your insight about the department and institute based on the following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education delivered at Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering.

Principal
(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)


ALUMNI FEEDBACK FORM

Alumni Details:

Name: Shirsath Jagruti Ravindra.
Residential Address: 'Nimal' Niwas, sr.No- 158/L, Plot No-7, Salunkhe Nagar, Nashik.
Contact Number: 9421565484 e-mail id: Shirsathjagruhir30@gmail.com.
Present Organisation: HAL, Nashik.
Designation: Apprenticeship. Present Location: Nashik.

You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction / agreement:
Scale: 1- Poor; 2 - Satisfactory; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 1 - Feedback regarding Institute Support						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Admission procedure					✓
2.	Institute environment					✓✓
3.	Academic Infrastructure					✓
4.	Laboratory facilities				✓	
5.	Research and Innovation support				✓✓	
6.	Library facilities				✓	
7.	Computer and Internet facilities					✓✓
8.	Cultural and Sport facilities					✓
9.	Hostel facility					
10.	Transport facility				✓	
11.	Grievances redressal				✓✓	
12.	Training and placement					✓
13.	Career counselling activities				✓	
14.	Canteen facility			✓		
15.	Recreational facilities				✓	



You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of agreement:
 Scale: 1- Strongly disagree; 2 – Disagree; 3 – Neutral; 4 – Agree; 5 – Strongly agree

Part 2 – Feedback regarding Programme Educational Objectives (PEOs)						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Agreement				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Your knowledge in mathematical, scientific and engineering fundamentals has helped you in initiating a successful career as well as advance in it					✓
2.	You have not face any difficulty in adopting to new methods and technology at your workplace				✓	
3.	You were able to cope up with your current job challenges				✓	
4.	You find yourself, competent enough in handling projects / assignments successfully as a team member					✓

You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction:
 Scale: 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 3 – Feedback regarding Curriculum						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage					✓
2.	Adequacy of the core courses					✓
3.	Ordering of the courses				✓	
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses				✓	
5.	Practical content in the curriculum					✓

Part 4 – Generalised Feedback and Contribution			
Sr.	Parameter	Yes	No
1.	Do you feel proud to be associated with Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering?	✓	
2.	Do you regularly receive communications / updates from the institute / department?	✓	
3.	Based on your performance have you ever been appreciated by your present / past organisation?	✓	
4.	Have you made any significant achievement in your career?	✓	
5.	Based on your professional expertise would you like to mentor your juniors?	✓	
6.	Would you like to financially contribute to the Alumni Association?	✓	

Suggestive note: NO,



[Signature]
Signature



Kalyani Charitable Trust's
Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road,
Nashik - 422213



Dear Student,

Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering has developed this survey to assess the effectiveness of the engineering program. The department is deeply committed to continuous quality improvements and this survey is an integral part of our assessment process. We appreciate your time in completing this form, as it is very important that we receive your feedback as a tool to assess the overall program. Please answer the questions below so we can try to improve the course in future semesters. Thank you very much for your cooperation.

Course Instructor

COURSE EXIT SURVEY

Student Details:

Name:

Vedant Santosh Chavanke

Department:

Electrical

Class:

SE

Division:

Academic Year :

2022-23

Semester:

1st Ind

Name of Course:

PGT (Power Generation Technologies)

Name of Course Instructor:

R.V. Pawar

Please rate your capability in each of the Course Learning Outcomes (CO) on a 1 to 5 numerical scale. Please take a few moments to acquaint yourself with these criteria. You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of agreement:

Scale: 1- Satisfactory; 2 - Agree; 3 - Strongly agree

Part 1 - Feedback regarding Course Outcomes				
Sr.	Course Outcomes	Level of Agreement		
		1	2	3
1.	Identify components and elaborate working principle of conventional power plants			✓
2.	Recognize the importance and opportunities of renewable energies		✓	
3.	Calculate and control power output of wind solar, and hydro power plant		✓	
4.	Describe process of grid interconnection of distributed generation and requirements		✓	
5.	Interpret the environmental and social impact of various generation technologies			✓

You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction:

Scale: 1- Poor; 2 - Satisfactory; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 2 - Feedback regarding Curriculum						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage					✓
2.	Adequacy of the core courses				✓	
3.	Ordering of the courses				✓	
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses			✓		
5.	Practical content in the curriculum				✓	✓

Suggestive note: _____



[Signature]
Signature

[Signature]
Prof.(Dr.) Sahebrao B. Bagal
Principal
Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering
Anjaneri, Nashik-422 213.



Dear Student,

Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering has developed this survey to assess the effectiveness of the engineering program. The department is deeply committed to continuous quality improvements and this survey is an integral part of our assessment process. We appreciate your time in completing this form, as it is very important that we receive your feedback as a tool to assess the overall program. Please answer the questions below so we can try to improve the course in future semesters. Thank you very much for your cooperation.

Course Instructor

COURSE EXIT SURVEY

Student Details:

Name: Tansvi Sharadchandra Vasaike
 Department: Computer
 Class: FE (Common) Division: B
 Academic Year: 2022 - 23 Semester: 1
 Name of Course: Engineering Physics Name of Course Instructor: Prof. Rahul Shinde

Please rate your capability in each of the Course Learning Outcomes (CO) on a 1 to 5 numerical scale. Please take a few moments to acquaint yourself with these criteria. You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of agreement:
 Scale: 1 - Satisfactory; 2 - Agree; 3 - Strongly agree

Part 1 - Feedback regarding Course Outcomes				
Sr.	Course Outcomes	Level of Agreement		
		1	2	3
1.	Develop understanding of interference, diffraction and polarization; connect it to few engineering applications		✓	
2.	Learn basics of lasers and optical fibers and their use in some applications		✓	
3.	Understand concepts and principles in quantum mechanics. Relate them to some applications.			✓
4.	Understand theory of semiconductors and their applications in some semiconductor devices.	✓		
5.	Summarize basics of magnetism and superconductivity. Explore few of their technological applications.		✓	
6.	Comprehend use of concepts of physics for Non Destructive Testing. Learn some properties of nanomaterials and their application.			✓

You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction:
 Scale: 1 - Poor; 2 - Satisfactory; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 2 - Feedback regarding Curriculum						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage			✓		
2.	Adequacy of the core courses	✓				
3.	Ordering of the courses				✓	
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses					✓
5.	Practical content in the curriculum					✓

Suggestive note: _____

Vasaike
 Signature



Bagal
 Prof.(Dr.) Sahebrao B. Bagal
 Principal
 Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering
 Anjaneri, Nashik-422 213.



Kalyani Charitable Trust's
Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road,
Nashik - 422213



Dear Student,

Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering has developed this survey to assess the effectiveness of the engineering program. The department is deeply committed to continuous quality improvements and this survey is an integral part of our assessment process. We appreciate your time in completing this form, as it is very important that we receive your feedback as a tool to assess the overall program. Please answer the questions below so we can try to improve the course in future semesters. Thank you very much for your cooperation.

Course Instructor

COURSE EXIT SURVEY

Student Details:

Name: Arhad Vaishali Khandu.

Department: E & T C

Class: TE Division: =

Academic Year: AY 2022-23 Semester: II

Name of Course: Power Devices & Circuits Name of Course Instructor: Mrs. K. J. Mahajan

Please rate your capability in each of the Course Learning Outcomes (CO) on a 1 to 5 numerical scale. Please take a few moments to acquaint yourself with these criteria. You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of agreement:

Scale: 1 – Satisfactory; 2 – Agree; 3 – Strongly agree

Part 1 – Feedback regarding Course Outcomes				
Sr.	Course Outcomes	Level of Agreement		
		1	2	3
1.	To differentiate based on the characteristic parameters among SCR, GTO, MOSFET & IGBT and identify suitability of the power device for certain applications and understand the significance of device ratings.			✓
2.	To design triggering / driver circuits for various power devices		✓	
3.	To evaluate and analyze various performance parameters of the different converters and its topologies.			✓
4.	To understand significance and design of various protection circuits for power devices.			✓
5.	To evaluate the performance of uninterruptible power supplies, switch mode power supplies and battery.		✓	
6.	To understand case studies of power electronics in applications like electric vehicles, solar systems etc.			✓

You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction:

Scale: 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 2 – Feedback regarding Curriculum						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage					✓
2.	Adequacy of the core courses				✓	
3.	Ordering of the courses					✓
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses					✓
5.	Practical content in the curriculum				✓	

Suggestive note: _____



[Signature]
Signature

Prof.(Dr.) Sahebrao B. Bagal
Principal
Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering
Anjaneri, Nashik-422 213.