[able: Rubric for Term Work Assesment (BE - Project Stage I)

	5	4	ω	2	-		Sr.
Total	Presentation	Project Work Progress	Innovativeness	Problem Definition	Literature Review (Industry Sponsored Projects)	Literature Review (In House Projects)	CRITERA
50	10	10	01	10	10	-0	Marks
	Communication, Organization of ppt, Confidence, Q & A	Steady Progress, Self motivated, Reminders not required, Timely reporting	Innovation in work, Benefit to society, Thinking ability, Modern techniques	Scope, Relevance, Understanding, Significance	Proper study of process, flow/product, detail/industry, problem/data/flow, charts, Proper Observations from literature, Proper Gap analysis, Well defined Objectives of work	Proper review of referred Journals/Conference Papers, Proper Observations from literature, Proper Gap analysis, Well defined Objectives of work	. 90%-100% RUBRICS:
	Communication, Organization of ppt, Confidence	Steady Progress,Self motivated,Reminders not required	Innovation in work, Benefit to society, Thinking ability	Scope, Relevance, Understanding	Proper study of process, flow/product,detail/industry, problem/data/flow,charts, Proper Observations from literature, Proper Gap analysis	Proper review of referred Journals/Conference Papers, Proper Observations from literature, Proper Gap analysis,	Range of performance 65%-75%
	Communication, Organization of ppt	Steady Progress, Self motivated,	Innovation in work, Benefit to society	Scope, Relevance	Proper study of process, flow/product, detail/industry, problem/data/flow, charts, Proper Observations from literature	Proper review of referred Journals/Conference Papers, Proper Observations from literature,	expressed as percentage of maximum 40%-50%
	Communication	Steady Progress	Innovation in work	Scope	Proper study of process, flow/product, detail/industry, problem/data/flow, charts	Proper review of referred Journals/Conference Papers,	m maks allotted to each criter 15%-25%
	No Communication, ppts not organized, No Confidence, Not satisfactory Q & A	No progress, Not self motivated, many reminders required, No timely reporting	No innovation in work, No benefit to society, No thinking ability, No modern techniques	Not defined Scope, Relevance, Understanding, Significance		Journals/Conference Papers, No proper Observations from literature, No proper Gap analysis, Not defined Objectives of work	1a (1 to 5) 0%

evaluation is also done by the department using following



of. (Dr.) N. R. Wankhade

Table:Rubrics for Internal Assessment (BE - Project Stage II)

		5	4	w	2		No.	
Total	Report writing and it's quality Presentation		Aspects covered: Environment, safety. Ethics etc.	Team work contribution	Technical Aspects	Work Book	CRITERA	
100	20		20	20	20	20	Marks	
	Clarity and confidence, preparation, PPT Slides quality, Satisfactory answers to related questions	Concept and technical descriptions well explained, Writing is clear, logical and organized, results are clearly stated & presented with graphs, work is well specified for future scope	Student can recognize basic professional ethics, Consideration of environmental effect of project, understanding importance of safety, benefit of work to society	Participation and Contribution, Listening and Sharing, motivation/help other team members,acceptance to changes and constructive criticism	Use of appropriate Methodology, Design, Development and Analysis,Innovation and Creativity, Data presentation (charts, tables, graphs)	Handling is careful, all fields filled, neatness in writing, quality of content is satisfactory	90%-100% F	
	Clarity and confidence, preparation, PPT Slides quality,	Concept and technical descriptions well explained, Writing is clear, logical and organized, results are clearly stated & presented with graphs	Student can recognize basic professional ethics, Consideration of environmental effect of project, understanding importance of safety	Participation and Contribution, Listening and Sharing, motivation/help other team members	Use of appropriate Methodology, Design, Development and Analysis, Innovation and Creativity	Handling is careful, all fields filled, neatness in writing	RUBRICS: Range of performance expression 65%-75%	
	Clarity and confidence, preparation,	Concept and technical descriptions well explained, Writing is clear, logical and organized	Student can recognize basic professional ethics, Consideration of environmental effect of project	Participation and Contribution, Listening and Sharing	Use of appropriate Methodology, Design, Development and Analysis	Handling is careful, all fields filled,	expressed as percentage of maximum maks 40%-50%	
	Clarity and confidence	Concept and technical descriptions well explained	Student can recognize basic professional ethics	Participation and Contribution	Use of appropriate Methodology	Handling is careful	ks allotted to each criteria (1 to 5) 15%-25%	
	No clarity and confidence, preparation, PPT Slides quality not good, unsatisfactory answers to related questions	Concept and technical descriptions not explained, Writing is not clear, illogical and unorganized, results are not clearly stated & not presented with graphs, work is not specified for future scope	Student can't recognize basic professional ethics, No consideration of environmental effect of project, No understanding importance of safety, No benefit of work to society	No Participation and Contribution, No Listening and Sharing, No motivation/help other team members, No acceptance to changes and constructive criticism	Not used appropriate Methodology, Design, Development and Analysis, Innovation and Creativity, No data presentation (charts, tables, graphs)	Handling is not careful, No fields filled, No neatness in writing, quality of content is not satisfactory	0%	



Prof. (Dr.) N. R. Wankhade

Head of Department